

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/559,761	12/07/2005	Christoph Leinemann	03100261AA	5937
30743 WHITHAM (	7590 12/04/200 CURTIS & CHRISTOF	EXAMINER		
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190			HOGAN, JAMES SEAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3752		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/559,761 LEINEMANN, CHRISTOPH Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JAMES S. HOGAN 3752 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(c) ic/are allowed

٠,٠					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

# Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a) All b) Some \* c) None of:

1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 51 Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)

Application/Control Number: 10/559,761

Art Unit: 3752

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

A review of the Double Patenting rejection fins sill no discernable differences between that what is claimed in U.S. Patent No. 7,241,137 and the claims of the instant application. The claimed subject matter of '137 claims "multiple concentric rings" thus making an obvious reference to a separation between rings, such separation having a defined solid annular section where no gaps exist. Therefore, the nonstatutory double patenting rejection of the prior Office Action will stand, and is repeated below.

## Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/559,761

Art Unit: 3752

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 7,241,137 to the Applicant. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they describe the same invention with no difference in any constructive form that would create a differing embodiment, and do so only in a manner that requires a differing classification of the claimed invention.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S.
   Patent No. 6,179,608 to Kraemer et al.
- 4. As per claim 1, Kraemer teaches flame guard having a flow cross section (at 2) that terminates a conduit (implied), in which there is a flame guard insert having a large number of passage gaps (21) ensuring that it is permanently fireproof, characterized in

Application/Control Number: 10/559,761 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

that, within the flow cross section (at 2), at least one concentric annular section (60, and the solid-line separations between each concentric gapped ring) is formed so as to be solid without the passage gaps, and regardless of size would dissipate heat in the concentric region within the flow section

- 5. As per claim 2, as shown in figure 3, the cross-sectional area of the flame guard insert with the passage gaps is greater than the cross-sectional area without passage gaps.
- 6. As per claim 3, a centrally arranged core (60) is provided as a concentric section.
- As per claim 4, Kraemer et al discloses that the concentric section (60) is formed possibly of a highly thermally conductive material (Col. 8, lines 11-12).
- 8. As per claim 5, shown in Figure 5 is a plurality of annular sections are provided as concentric sections (75), which, although not drawn to any significant gauges, are solid, which are, in each case followed in the radial direction by flame guard arrangements with passage gaps (21).
- 9. As per claims 6 and 7, in that the concentric section is formed from a smooth metal strip (76) or a corrugated metal strip (76) wound spirally closely on itself (See col. 3, lines 41, 42).
- As per claim 8, the flow cross section (2) is shown to be (See Figure 3) of an annular form

### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). Application/Control Number: 10/559,761

Art Unit: 3752

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES S. HOGAN whose telephone number is (571)272-4902. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 6:00a-3:00p EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571)272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,761 Page 6

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. S. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3752

/Len Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752